Lab 4: Mechanical sensor failure and

sensorless control of PMSM

Connor Ricotta

400199761

# Introduction:

This lab serves to introduce various causes of sensor failure while controlling motors. First, we will observe how the motor will react under various failure states, then implement methods to detect these variations, and finally how to control properly when a sensor has entered these failure states.

# Results:

## PLL Tuning – 30Hz:

The following parameters were used to achieve a 30Hz response with a phase margin larger than 45 degrees:

A = 6

KPLL = 760
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While the control strategy in thios example has exelent efficiency, observing the torque response and error metrics shows how poor this configuration was. After the step response the system has several large oscillations before slowly ramping back up to the desired torque. However, despite this the measure I d/q measured is folowing the reference extremly well.

## PLL Tuning – 300Hz:

The following parameters were used to achieve a 30Hz response with a phase margin larger than 45 degrees:

A = 6

KPLL = 760
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After tuning for 300Hz, the system response improves greatly improved. The torque response has a much smaller dip and efficiency has greatly improved. The tracking error is greatly reduced as well despite having a ripple of 1%.

## 5% gain difference
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Applying the 5% gain difference had very little effect on the system. The efficiencies and ripple stayed the same, however the tracking error grew slightly. This is likely due to the increased oscillations in the response.

## 10% offset:
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The offset applied to the cos signal sees some significant error in the model. While the efficiency is hardly changed, the tracking error has seen even more jumps. Looking at the response above, the oscillations have increased quite a bit. Observing the sin and cos output, there is a clear difference between the 2. This results in an extremely noisy idq as well as pll error.

Supply failure:
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Applying a supply failure results in the system no longer measuring the response and it instead needs to be predicted. The sensor less control has an extreme response and it immediately begins oscillating similar to how it does in the 30Hz example, however, does not begin converging on the desired torque.

## Error detection:

The sum of the sign and cos parts squared is meant to equal 1. In the event one of these previous errors occurs, the bellow function can be used to detect this error by checking if the sum of parts is less than some threshold. This threshold is there to allow for tuning depending on the exct models parameters. This will allow for some error to occur, as seen before with the gain applied, it caused very little error in the model, meaning some difference between the 2 can be tolerated before it begins to cause problems.
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## Sensorless control: b=2 offset = 0
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As seen in the previous test, the system responds very poorly when placed under fault / sensorless control. When the torque reference is altered, it immediately hits the desired torque, the proceeds to wildly oscillate before converging on zero. This is likely due to the tuning and calculation of the estimate being off, as the largest position estimate error is seen right as the reference switches, and decreases as it begins to converge.
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B =2, init = -180i
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B =2, init = 90i
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B = 0.5 0 offset
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B = 0.5 0 offset = -180
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